

Appl. No. 09/787,632
Amdt. dated December 8, 2003
Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

1 - 6 (Canceled)

~~7~~² (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~¹ further comprising another fungicidal active material.

~~11~~³ (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~¹ further comprising an agriculturally suitable inert support and optionally an agriculturally suitable surfactant.

~~12~~⁴ (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~¹ comprising from 0.5 to 99% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).

~~13~~⁵ (Previously Amended) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops in an area, which consists in applying a compound (I) and a compound (II) as defined in claim ~~22~~¹ to said area.

Appl. No. 09/787,632

Amdt. dated December 8, 2003

Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

6 ~~14~~. (Previously Amended) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops of the phytophthora and plasmopara genera comprising applying an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of the fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~¹ onto the crops to be treated.

7 ~~15~~. (Original) A process as claimed in claim ~~14~~⁶, characterized in that the fungicidal composition is applied by spraying a liquid onto the aerial parts of the crops to be treated.

8 ~~16~~. (Previously Amended) The process as claimed in claim ~~14~~⁶, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha.

9 ~~17~~. (Previously Amended) The process as claimed in claim ~~14~~⁶, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha.

18. (Canceled)

10 ~~19~~. (Original) The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim ~~7~~², wherein said another fungicidal active material is iprodione.

Appl. No. 09/787,632

Amdt. dated December 8, 2003

Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

11 ~~20~~ (Original) The process as claimed in claim ~~16~~⁸, wherein the amount of the fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 20 and 300 g/ha.

12 ~~21~~ (Original) The process as claimed in claim ~~17~~⁹, wherein the amount of the fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 20 and 300 g/ha.

~~22~~ (Previously Added) A fungicidal composition comprising a synergistic fungicidally effective amount of:

- A) compound (I), (4-S)-4-methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one, and
- B) compound (II), N¹-[(R)-1-(6-fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]-N²-isopropoxycarbonyl-L-valinamide,

wherein the ratio of the weight of compound (I) to the weight of compound (II) is in the range of from 5 to 0.5.

13 ~~23~~ (Previously Added) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops of the *Phytophthora infestans* and *Plasmopara viticola* species comprising applying to said species an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of the fungicidal composition comprising:

- A) compound (I), (4-S)-4-methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one, and

Appl. N . 09/787,632

Amdt. dated December 8, 2003

Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

B) compound (II), N¹-[(*R*)-1-(6-fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]-N²-isopropoxycarbonyl-L-valinamide,

wherein the ratio of the weight of compound (I) to the weight of compound (II) is in the range of from 2 to 4 onto the crops to be treated.